

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/086,691 | 03/04/2002 | Kiyoshi Tsuchida | H-1035 | 2815 |
| 75 | 90 12/30/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| Mattingly, Stanger & Malur, P.C. Suite 370 | | | ROMAN, ANGEL | |
| 1800 Diagonal I | Road | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Alexandria, VA 22314 | | | 2812 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Summer | 10/086,691 | TSUCHIDA, KIY | TSUCHIDA, KIYOSHI | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Angel Roman | 2812 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence a | ddress | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If the period for reply is pecified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply sil, bys - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of teriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M fatute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely. communication. | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on g | 09 October 2003. | | | | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 2-13 are subject to restriction and | n from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exal 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2002</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ c the drawing(s) be held in abey | rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | e Examinor. Note the ditaer | ica cinica Adrian di Tamin | | | | |
| 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) △ All b) △ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority docur 2. △ Certified copies of the priority docur 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bt * See the attached detailed Office action for a 13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) △ The translation of the foreign language 14) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don reference was included in the first sentence | nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). a list of the certified copies n nestic priority under 35 U.S. e first sentence of the speci e provisional application has nestic priority under 35 U.S. | Application No en received in this National ot received. C. § 119(e) (to a provision fication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since | nal application) n Data Sheet. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | " " | 0 | -(-) | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No. | 3) 5) Notice of | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (P | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 10/086,691

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election of Group II in Paper No. 10/09/2003 is acknowledged.
 Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Upon further consideration of the elected group of claims (Group II, claims 2-13) an election of species is required as follows:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- a. Specie I, figure 5
- b. Specie II, figure 6
- c. Specie III, figure 17

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angel Roman whose telephone number is (703) 306-0207. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-6:00pm. Application/Control Number: 10/086,691

Art Unit: 2812

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

For inquiries directed to the examiner or examiner's supervisor after 2 February 2004 call Angel Roman at (571) 272-1681 or John Niebling at (571) 272-1679.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

AR 23 December 2003

> John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800